

IChange Network Final Action Template

Institution: University of Denver

IChange Team Lead: Robin Tinghitella & Sarah Watamura

Action Plan Goal (Audacious Goal): To close the policy to practice gap in inclusive practices and move toward a culture of belonging for all faculty.

Table E1. Action Plan & Indicators

Please provide a detailed action plan, including the rationale for the action and the measures you will be using to assess the effectiveness of the action.

Action	Area(s) Addressed of Institutional Model for Increasing Faculty Diversity: Recruitment, Transition, Retention, Institutional Context	Action Rationale	Action Ownership/ Accountability	Action Means of Support: e.g. Staff Time; External grant; Fee- for-service; To-be- identified	Action Assessment Metric (Progress Indicator)
Possible action 1: Close the policy to practice gap on search processes.	Recruitment	DU has clear policies that require ongoing training of departments/units and search committees in advance of searches. Compliance with these mandatory trainings varies, however. We propose an accountability process for documenting required training.	Division of Diversity Equity and Inclusion	Staff time from DEI; committed training time from recruiting units.	Process for documenting that searching departments completed required trainings. (leading - within year 1) Percentage Increase in URG faculty in finalist pools (lagging)
Possible action 2: Implement chair/director/associate dean participation in centrally provided	Onboarding	Demonstrate commitment of units in supportive programming offered to new	Provost's Office	Staff Time; chair/director time & compensation /work	Creation of chair/director onboarding process and programming (leading – within year 1)



Ale l					
Action	Area(s) Addressed of Institutional Model for Increasing Faculty Diversity: Recruitment, Transition, Retention, Institutional Context	Action Rationale	Action Ownership/ Accountability	Action Means of Support: e.g. Staff Time; External grant; Fee- for-service; To-be- identified	Action Assessment Metric (Progress Indicator)
faculty onboarding initiatives.		faculty; provide a platform through which recruiting chairs/directors can receive ongoing antibias and mentorship training.		exchange	Unit level commitment to successful and integrated onboarding (lagging) Cross-institution buyouts for new faculty to participate in onboarding (lagging)
Possible Action 3: Design and implement and onboarding fair. Change faculty onboarding events to include tables and stakeholders present to engage faculty with university resources and affinity opportunities.	Onboarding	Current programming for onboarding of faculty could benefit from strategies that research has shown work well for onboarding and connecting students. This would include an onsite opportunity to meet and join affinity groups and find resources.	Provost's office	Time for planning and for affinity group members/lead ership and resource folxs to attend	Offer a session like this (leading – within year 1) Improved connection to and engagement with resources and affinity groups (lagging) Increased sense of faculty feeling connected to other faculty and to DU (lagging)
Possible Action 4. Indepth evaluation of resignation including "stay interviews" for successful retention to understand why people stay after considering leaving, "exit interviews" with people departing DU and potentially with units experiencing departures.	Retention	We may lack clarity and data on the reasons that people choose to stay or leave the University, which means we cannot keep them if we don't know why they're leaving (or why people who consider leaving decide to stay).	HRIC/Division of Diversity, Equity and Inclusion Faculty Liaison, Provost's office	Support for pathways to identify folxs at risk of leaving; data collection platforms, staff time for interviews, data collation and processing	Implementation and communication about the process for data collection on resignation (leading – within year 1) Reduced resignation/improved engagement (lagging)
Possible Action 5: Schools and departments will be charged with developing a department/school-	Retention (Community & Belonging)	Department and school level growth in DEIJ is critical for faculty thriving. We recommend a	Departments	Support and training for chairs and directors; compensation /work	Institutional and college level support for department level self- evaluation and growth (leading – within year 1)



180	I			I	
Action	Area(s) Addressed of Institutional Model for Increasing Faculty Diversity: Recruitment, Transition, Retention, Institutional Context	Action Rationale	Action Ownership/ Accountability	Action Means of Support: e.g. Staff Time; External grant; Fee- for-service; To-be- identified	Action Assessment Metric (Progress Indicator)
level evaluation of DEIJ to determine their individual next steps, encouraging a proactive/responsive mindset over a reactive/innovative mindset.		proactive and responsive mindset to diversity, equity and inclusion, and that tools to encourage regular department/schoo I level evaluation and iterative change could move beyond the tendency to be stuck in a reactive space with bursts of (possibly duplicative) innovation.		exchange	Regular investment in training and growth resources (time, training, consultants, supports) – (leading) 25% increase in faculty feeling valued for their contributions, feeling connected to other faculty and feeling connected to DU (lagging)
Possible Action 6: Demonstrate top-down leadership engagement in DEIJ training (BOT, chancellor, provost, deans, external influencers).	Institutional Context	We believe that faculty and staff commitment to DEIJ is high but would be further enhanced and supported by a clear demonstration of commitment to growth in our leadership.	Division of Diversity, Equity and Inclusion	Institutional commitment/c harge by BOT or chancellor	Completion of training by 90% of leaders in these roles (leading – within year 1) Campus trust in leadership commitment (lagging)
Possible Action 7: Add DEIJ recognition alongside research, teaching, service awards events for departments or individuals increasing inclusive climate.	Institutional Context	To recognize sustained and invisible labor, we recommend that recognition dinners and university-level awards be added for DEIJ contributions.	Provost's office (dinner), Senate (faculty awards)	Resources to support a dinner, process supports for nomination and selection	Begin offering these annually (leading – within year 1) Increased effort in DEIJ across the university (lagging) Sustained and invisible DEIJ effort recognized and rewarded during annual and substantive reviews across the university (lagging)
Possible action 8.	Retention	Increasingly	Provost's office	Staff time,	Opportunities for



Action	Area(s) Addressed of Institutional Model for Increasing Faculty Diversity: Recruitment, Transition, Retention, Institutional Context	Action Rationale	Action Ownership/ Accountability	Action Means of Support: e.g. Staff Time; External grant; Fee- for-service; To-be- identified	Action Assessment Metric (Progress Indicator)
Ongoing skill and professional development to support success across the career trajectory.		across rank individuals with one or more historically excluded identities are overtaxed for service and for teaching that is higher in service components or emotional-labor, which can lead to reduced career advancement/dise ngagement/resign ation.		external consultants/tr ainings to support professional development across the career	professional development, increased participation in professional development opportunities (leading – within year 1) for associate and full rank across series Promotion/success/retentio n across series – proportional demographics by rank (lagging)